

Three-dimensional vector waves in time-variant materials, with applications in time-reversed focusing and Green's function retrieval

Kees Wapenaar^{1,*} and Dirk-Jan van Manen²

¹*Department of Geoscience and Engineering, Delft University of Technology, 2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands*

²*ETH Zürich, Institute of Geophysics, Sonneggstrasse 5, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland*

(Dated: September 17, 2026)

The behavior of wave propagation and scattering in time-variant materials has been studied by many authors, for electromagnetic as well as for mechanical waves. Most studies consider two-dimensional waves, governed by scalar wave equations. Here we introduce a unified wave equation for three-dimensional electromagnetic and elastodynamic vector-wave propagation and scattering in homogeneous, time-variant materials. Using the symmetry properties of this equation, we establish the conservation of net field-momentum density, and a reciprocity relation between causal and acausal Green's functions. The latter relation is exploited in the derivation of the counterparts of classical time-reversed focusing and Green's function retrieval for time-variant materials.

Research towards wave propagation and scattering in time-variant materials, which started in the late 1950's [1], gathered significant momentum with the introduction of manufactured dynamic metamaterials [2–6]. The wave equation for time-variant materials is similar to that for space-variant materials, with the roles of time and space interchanged [7, 8]. However, because the causality principle is not interchanged for time and space, and because the number of space dimensions is in general different from the number of time dimensions, waves in homogeneous, time-variant materials behave differently from those in inhomogeneous, time-invariant materials.

Although most of the literature on waves in time-variant materials deals with electromagnetic waves, applications for mechanical waves have been presented [6, 8, 9]. Fink and coworkers [10, 11] demonstrate how a time boundary scatters diverging water waves backward in space, which then converge and focus at the original source position. This is the counterpart of classical time-reversed acoustics [12], where time-reversed waves, emitted from a space boundary, focus at the source position.

Most studies on waves in time-variant materials consider transverse-electric, transverse-magnetic, transverse-shear, or acoustic waves in two-dimensional (2D) materials, which are all governed by scalar wave equations. Studies on three-dimensional (3D) vector waves in time-variant materials are sparse and restricted to electromagnetic waves [13–17]. Here we present a unified formalism for 3D electromagnetic and elastodynamic vector-wave propagation and scattering in homogeneous, time-variant materials, and discuss its applications in time-reversed focusing and Green's function retrieval by spatial cross-correlation.

The unifying equation for waves in time-variant materials reads

$$\partial_t \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{d}, \quad (1)$$

see the Appendix for a detailed derivation. Here ∂_t stands for $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is a 6×1 wave field vector as a function of

position $\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)$ and time t , $\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is a 6×1 source vector, and $\mathbf{A}(t)$ a 6×6 operator matrix, with

$$\mathbf{q} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{U} \\ \mathbf{V}_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{d} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} \\ \mathbf{b}_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & -\frac{1}{\beta(t)} \mathbf{I} \\ -\frac{1}{\alpha(t)} \mathcal{D} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

Here $\mathbf{I}$ is an identity matrix and $\mathbf{O}$ a null matrix. All other quantities in these vectors and matrix are defined in Table I, for 3D electromagnetic and 3D elastodynamic waves. For elastodynamic waves it is assumed that the ratio $\lambda(t)/\mu(t)$ or, equivalently, the ratio $c_P(t)/c_S(t)$, is time-invariant [18]. Note that operator $\mathcal{D}$ for electromagnetic waves follows from $\mathcal{D}$ for elastodynamic waves by taking the limit $c_P \rightarrow 0$.

TABLE I. Specification of quantities in equation (2). For electromagnetic waves, $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are the electric and magnetic flux densities, respectively; $\mathbf{J}^e(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{J}^m(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are the external electric and magnetic current densities, respectively; and $\varepsilon(t)$ and $\mu(t)$ are the time-variant permittivity and permeability, respectively. For elastodynamic waves, $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, $\mathbf{e}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\Theta(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are the mechanical momentum density, the strain tensor and the cubic dilatation, respectively (with $\Theta = \text{tr}(\mathbf{e})$); $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, $\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are the external force, deformation-rate and volume injection-rate densities, respectively (with $q = \text{tr}(\mathbf{h})$); $\rho(t)$, $\lambda(t)$ and $\mu(t)$ are the time-variant mass density and Lamé parameters, respectively (with $\lambda(t)/\mu(t)$ assumed time-invariant); and $c_P(t)$ and $c_S(t)$ are the time-variant P - and S -wave velocities, respectively.

	Electromagnetic	Elastodynamic
$\mathbf{U}$	$\mathbf{D}$	$\mathbf{m}$
$\mathbf{V}_d$	$-\nabla \times \mathbf{B}$	$-2\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e} - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \nabla \Theta$
$\mathbf{a}$	$-\mathbf{J}^e$	$\mathbf{f}$
$\mathbf{b}_d$	$\nabla \times \mathbf{J}^m$	$2\nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \nabla q$
α	$\varepsilon(t)$	$\rho(t)$
β	$\mu(t)$	$1/\mu(t)$
$\mathcal{D}$	$-\nabla \times \nabla \times$	$\frac{c_P^2}{c_S^2} \nabla \nabla^t - \nabla \times \nabla \times$

* Contact author: c.p.a.wapenaar@tudelft.nl

The operator $\nabla \times$ in Table I is a matrix, defined as

$$\nabla \times = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\partial_z & \partial_y \\ \partial_z & 0 & -\partial_x \\ -\partial_y & \partial_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

With this definition it follows that operator $\mathcal{D}$ is a symmetric matrix, i.e., $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^t$, where superscript t denotes transposition. This implies for matrix $\mathbf{A}(t)$, defined in equation (2),

$$\mathbf{N}\mathbf{A} = -\mathbf{A}^t\mathbf{N}, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathbf{N} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \mathbf{I} \\ -\mathbf{I} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4)$$

This symmetry property of matrix $\mathbf{A}(t)$ makes that equation (1) is not only useful for modeling the time-evolution of $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ through a homogeneous, time-variant material, but also that its solutions obey specific symmetry properties, essential for time-reversed focusing and Green's function retrieval, as we will see below.

We introduce a 6×6 propagator matrix $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t, t_0)$ (also known as the transfer matrix [8, 20]), which obeys the wave equation (1) without the source term, hence

$$\partial_t \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{W}, \quad (5)$$

with initial condition $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t_0, t_0) = \mathbf{I} \delta(\mathbf{x})$. Using this matrix, we can express $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ for arbitrary t , larger (or smaller) than t_0 , in terms of the initial (or final) condition $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, t_0)$, as

$$\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t, t_0) * \mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, t_0), \quad (6)$$

where $*$ denotes a 3D spatial convolution. Here it is assumed that there are no sources for $\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ between t_0 and t .

In previous work [17], we have shown that the propagator matrix for 3D electromagnetic waves in time-variant materials can be expressed in terms of Green's matrices, as

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t, t_0) = \begin{pmatrix} -\beta(t_0)\partial_{t_0}\mathcal{G}^h & -\mathcal{G}^h \\ \beta(t)\beta(t_0)\partial_t\partial_{t_0}\mathcal{G}^h & \beta(t)\partial_t\mathcal{G}^h \end{pmatrix}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t, t_0), \quad (7)$$

with $\mathcal{G}^h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t, t_0) = \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t, t_0) - \mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t, t_0)$. Given the unified form of equations (1)–(6), it follows that equation (7) also holds for 3D elastodynamic waves in time-variant materials. Matrix $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t, t_0)$ is the 3×3 Green's matrix, i.e., the causal response to a unit source at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and t_0 , observed at $\mathbf{x}$ and t . It obeys the causality condition $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t, t_0) = \mathbf{O}$ for $t < t_0$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t, t_0)$ is the acausal Green's matrix, with acausality condition $\mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t, t_0) = \mathbf{O}$ for $t > t_0$. Hence, it is the acausal field observed at $\mathbf{x}$ and t , propagating to a unit sink at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and t_0 , after which it vanishes. The difference of these Green's matrices, $\mathcal{G}^h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t, t_0)$, is called the homogeneous Green's matrix, because the singularities related to the source and the sink at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and t_0

cancel each other. It should be noted that this straightforward relation between the propagator matrix and the Green's matrices (equation (7)) is limited to time-variant materials, where the initial condition for the propagator matrix and the (a)causality conditions for the Green's matrices are all defined in time. In contrast, for space-variant materials, the boundary condition for the propagator matrix is defined in space, whereas the (a)causality conditions for the Green's matrices are defined in time, so a relation similar to equation (7) does not exist for space-variant materials.

Equations (1) to (7) form the basis for the analysis of 3D wave propagation and scattering in time-variant materials and the applications in numerical modeling, time-reversed focusing and Green's function retrieval. To facilitate the analysis, we define the 3D spatial Fourier transformation of a space- and time-dependent quantity $\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ as

$$\check{\mathbf{U}}(\mathbf{k}, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{U}(\mathbf{x}, t) \exp(-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad (8)$$

where wave vector $\mathbf{k}$ is defined as $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y, k_z)$, i is the imaginary unit, and $\mathbb{R}$ is the set of real numbers. In the $\mathbf{k}, t$ -domain, wave equation (1) becomes $\partial_t \check{\mathbf{q}} = \check{\mathbf{A}} \check{\mathbf{q}} + \check{\mathbf{d}}$, with ∇ (in matrix $\mathbf{A}$) replaced by $i\mathbf{k}$, and $\mathbf{A}$ also obeying the symmetry relation of equation (4). The transformed propagator matrix $\check{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t, t_0)$ obeys $\partial_t \check{\mathbf{W}} = \check{\mathbf{A}} \check{\mathbf{W}}$, with initial condition $\check{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_0, t_0) = \mathbf{I}$. We use these transformed equations to establish some fundamental properties.

First, note that the quantity $\check{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \mathbf{N} \check{\mathbf{q}}$, where superscript $\dagger$ denotes transposition and complex conjugation, is proportional to the net field-momentum density in the direction of $\mathbf{k}$ [17, 21, 22]. For the source-free situation we find (using that $\check{\mathbf{A}}$ is real-valued)

$$\partial_t (\check{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger \mathbf{N} \check{\mathbf{q}}) = \check{\mathbf{q}}^\dagger (\check{\mathbf{A}}^t \mathbf{N} + \mathbf{N} \check{\mathbf{A}}) \check{\mathbf{q}} = 0, \quad (9)$$

which confirms the conservation of net field-momentum density for time-variant materials [1, 7, 15, 17, 23] and extends its validity for 3D elastodynamic waves.

Second, analogous to equation (9), we find that $\check{\mathbf{W}}^t(\mathbf{k}, t, t_0) \mathbf{N} \check{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t, t_N)$ is conserved. Substituting $t = t_0$ and, subsequently, $t = t_N$, we obtain the following symmetry relation

$$\mathbf{N} \check{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_0, t_N) = \check{\mathbf{W}}^t(\mathbf{k}, t_N, t_0) \mathbf{N}. \quad (10)$$

The same symmetry relation holds in the $\mathbf{x}, t$ -domain. Substituting equation (7), we thus obtain

$$\mathcal{G}^h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_0, t_N) = -\{\mathcal{G}^h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_N, t_0)\}^t. \quad (11)$$

For $t_N > t_0$, using the definition of $\mathcal{G}^h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t, t_0)$ and the (a)causality conditions discussed below equation (7), this gives

$$\mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_0, t_N) = \mathcal{G}^t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_N, t_0). \quad (12)$$

This reciprocity relation states that in a homogeneous, time-variant material, the acausal field, observed at $\mathbf{x}$ and t_0 , propagating to a unit sink at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and t_N , is equal to the transposed causal response to a unit source at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and t_0 , observed at $\mathbf{x}$ and t_N . This is the counterpart of classical source-receiver reciprocity in an inhomogeneous, time-invariant material. Equation (12) will be illustrated with a numerical example later.

Next, we derive an algorithm for numerical modeling. Using the spatial Fourier transformation defined in equation (8), the spatial convolution in the $\mathbf{x}, t$ -domain in equation (6) is replaced by a multiplication in the $\mathbf{k}, t$ -domain, hence $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{k}, t) = \tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t, t_0) \tilde{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{k}, t_0)$. By applying this recursively, we obtain the recursive expression

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_N, t_0) = \tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_N, t_{N-1}) \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_{n-1}) \cdots \tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_1, t_0). \quad (13)$$

By making small enough time steps, we can take the material parameters time-invariant between t_{n-1} and t_n for all n . We thus obtain

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_{n-1}) = \exp\{\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_n \Delta t_n\}, \quad (14)$$

with $\Delta t_n = t_n - t_{n-1}$. This expression is discussed in more detail in the Appendix.

As an illustration, we model the propagator matrix in a homogeneous, time-variant elastic material. The variations of the parameters can, for example, be the result of a time-varying external stress [19]. For the illustration, we consider an idealized situation with three time-independent slabs, separated by two instantaneous time boundaries. The duration of the first slab is $40 \mu\text{s}$, and that of the second and third slabs is $20 \mu\text{s}$. The P -wave velocities c_P of the three slabs are 1500, 2500 and 4000 m/s, respectively, the S -wave velocities c_S are 750, 1250 and 2000 m/s, respectively, and the mass density ρ is constant throughout. Equation (13) is used to model the propagator matrix, with constant time steps $\Delta t = 0.4 \mu\text{s}$. We start at $t_0 = 0 \mu\text{s}$ and obtain $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_0)$ after n steps. According to equation (7), the upper-right sub-matrix of $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_0)$ equals (for $t_n > t_0$) minus the causal Green's matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{0}, t_n, t_0)$. Using an inverse 3D spatial Fourier transformation we obtain $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_n, t_0)$. We select the component $\mathcal{G}_{x,z}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_n, t_0)$, which is the x -component of the Green's function observed at $\mathbf{x}$ and t_n , in response to a unit source in the z -direction at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and t_0 . This component of the Green's matrix (convolved with a 3D spatial wavelet with a central wavenumber $k_0/2\pi = 100 \text{ m}^{-1}$) is shown in a 3D display as a function of $\mathbf{x} = (x, y=0, z)$ and t in Figure 1. Figure 2b shows a cross-section along the diagonal $x = z$ (avoiding the zero-crossings at the x - and z -axes) of Figure 1 as a function of $d = \sqrt{x^2 + z^2}$ (the distance to the source), for every 5th “snapshot” (hence, in this display $\Delta t = 2.0 \mu\text{s}$). A time- and space-dependent gain is applied in this display to compensate for the rapidly decaying amplitudes due to the 3D spreading. In the first time slab (from $t_0 = 0$ to $t_n = 40 \mu\text{s}$) we see P - and S -waves propagating with different velocities, away from the source at




FIG. 1. Cross-section at $y = 0$ of the 3D causal Green's function $\mathcal{G}_{x,z}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t, t_0)$ (convolved with a spatial wavelet) in a piecewise constant, time-variant elastic material. The red dot indicates the source at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and $t_0 = 0 \mu\text{s}$. The dashed blue planes indicate the time boundaries at $t_n = 40 \mu\text{s}$ and $t_n = 60 \mu\text{s}$. Movie available at <https://www.keeswapenaar.nl/TimeMaterial/Green3D.mp4>

$\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$. These waves get partly transmitted and partly reflected by the first time boundary at $t_n = 40 \mu\text{s}$. Unlike at a space boundary, no conversion between P - and S -waves occurs at a time boundary. Hence, in the second time slab (from $t_n = 40$ to $t_n = 60 \mu\text{s}$) the total number of events (transmitted and reflected) has doubled compared to those in the first time slab. The second time boundary, at $t_n = 60 \mu\text{s}$, causes again a doubling of the number of events. Note that the direct outward propagating P -waves form a causal “light-cone”, originating from the source at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and t_0 . All scattering occurs inside this cone.

Next, we model $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_N)$, with constant negative time steps $\Delta t = -0.4 \mu\text{s}$, starting at $t_N = 80 \mu\text{s}$. According to equation (7), the upper-right sub-matrix of $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_N)$ equals (for $t_n < t_N$) the acausal Green's matrix $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^a(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{0}, t_n, t_N)$. Figure 2a shows, for fixed t_N , a cross-section at $y = 0$ along the diagonal $x = z$ of every 5th snapshot of the component $\mathcal{G}_{z,x}^a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_n, t_N)$ (convolved with a spatial wavelet). From bottom to top, i.e., for increasing t , we observe a complex acausal wave field, converging to the sink at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and t_N . Partial transmission and reflection occurs at each time boundary, after which the total number of events is halved. The direct inward propagating P -waves form an acausal “light-cone” and all scattering occurs inside this cone. Despite the fact that Figure 2a is not the time-reversal of Figure 2b, we observe that the snapshot at t_0 in Figure 2a, $\mathcal{G}_{z,x}^a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_0, t_N)$, is identical to the snapshot at t_N in Figure 2b, $\mathcal{G}_{x,z}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_N, t_0)$. This confirms the reciprocity relation of equation (12).

Figure 2 as a whole resembles the principle of classical time-reversed focusing: it suggests that when the re-




FIG. 2. Cross-sections at $y = 0$ along the diagonal $x = z$ of (a) the acausal Green's function $\mathcal{G}^a_{z,x}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t, t_N)$ and (b) the causal Green's function $\mathcal{G}_{x,z}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t, t_0)$.

sponse at t_N (Figure 2b), is emitted by sources at t_0 into a repetition of the original (non-reversed) time-variant material, it focuses at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and t_N (Figure 2a). However, to make this happen, the response at t_N needs to be manipulated in a specific way before it is re-emitted into the material. To see this, consider the following special case of equation (13)

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_N) = \tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_0) \tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_0, t_N). \quad (15)$$

Using the symmetry relation of equation (10) and transforming the resulting expression to the $\mathbf{x}, t$ -domain, we obtain

$$\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t_n, t_N) = \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t_n, t_0) * \mathbf{N}^{-1} \mathbf{W}^t(\mathbf{x}, t_N, t_0) \mathbf{N}. \quad (16)$$

We choose $t_0 < t_n < t_N$. Substituting equation (7), using the definition of $\mathcal{G}^h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t, t_0)$ and the (a)causality conditions discussed below equation (7), this gives for the

upper-right sub-matrix of $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{x}, t_n, t_N)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_n, t_N) = & \\ & -\mu(t_0) \left(\{ \partial_{t_0} \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_n, t_0) \} * \mathcal{G}^t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_N, t_0) \right. \\ & \left. - \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_n, t_0) * \partial_{t_0} \mathcal{G}^t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_N, t_0) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The right-hand side states that the transposed of the response $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_N, t_0)$ (Figure 2b) and its derivative are emitted into the same material by sources at t_0 and propagated by $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_n, t_0)$ and its derivative from t_0 to t_n . This results in the acausal Green's function $\mathcal{G}^a(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_n, t_N)$ on the left-hand side (Figure 2a), which focuses at $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ and t_N . Hence, equation (17) represents the counterpart of classical time-reversed focusing.

For inhomogeneous, time-invariant materials, the principle of time-reversed focusing is closely linked to the principle of Green's function retrieval by temporal cross-correlation [24, 25]. Here we show a similar link for homogenous, time-variant materials. To this end, we consider again equation (16), but this time we drop the condition $t_n < t_N$. This implies that the left-hand side of equation (17) is replaced by minus the homogeneous Green's function $\mathcal{G}^h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_n, t_N)$. On the right-hand side of equation (17) we replace $\mathcal{G}^t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_N, t_0)$ and its derivative by $\mathcal{G}^t(-\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_N, t_0)$ and its derivative, which is allowed because the Green's functions are symmetric in $\mathbf{x}$. Now the terms on the right-hand side can be interpreted as spatial cross-correlations of wave fields and their derivatives, observed by receivers at t_n and t_N , in response to sources at t_0 . This gives minus the homogeneous Green's function $\mathcal{G}^h(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{0}, t_n, t_N)$ on the left-hand side, which, for $t_n > t_N$, is the response to a source at t_N , observed at t_n . In other words, by spatial cross-correlation, one of the receivers (at t_N) is turned into a virtual source, of which the response is observed by the other receiver (at t_n). Hence, this modification of equation (17) represents the counterpart of classical Green's function retrieval [26–30].

In conclusion, the unified formalism presented here not only forms a solid theoretical basis for establishing several fundamental aspects of 3D wave propagation and scattering in time-variant materials, it also forms the basis for the application of 3D electromagnetic and elastodynamic time-reversed focusing and Green's function retrieval in time-variant materials. The analysis in this study is restricted to time-variant materials, of which the parameters do not vary with position. The extension to 3D arbitrarily inhomogeneous, time-variant materials forms an exciting subject for further research.

Data availability—No data were analyzed in this study.

Acknowledgments—D.-J.v.M. was supported by Swiss National Science Foundation Grant No. 197182.

-
- [1] F. R. Morgenthaler, Velocity modulation of electromagnetic waves, *IRE Trans. Microw. Theory Tech.* **6**, 167 (1958).
- [2] C. Caloz and Z. L. Deck-Léger, Spacetime metamaterials—Part I: General concepts, *IEEE Trans. Ant. Prop.* **68**, 1569 (2020).
- [3] B. Apffel and E. Fort, Frequency conversion cascade by crossing multiple space and time interfaces, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 064501 (2022).
- [4] H. Moussa, G. Xu, S. Yin, E. Galiffi, Y. Ra'di, and A. Alù, Observation of temporal reflection and broadband frequency translation at photonic time interfaces, *Nat. Phys.* **19**, 863 (2023).
- [5] N. Engheta, Four-dimensional optics using time-varying metamaterials, *Science* **379**, 1190 (2023).
- [6] B. L. Kim, C. Chong, and C. Daraio, Temporal refraction in an acoustic phononic lattice, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **133**, 077201 (2024).
- [7] J. T. Mendonça and P. K. Shukla, Time refraction and time reflection: Two basic concepts, *Phys. Scr.* **65**, 160 (2002).
- [8] D. Torrent, W. J. Parnell, and A. N. Norris, Loss compensation in time-dependent elastic metamaterials, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 014105 (2018).
- [9] A. Delory, C. Prada, M. Lanoy, A. Eddi, M. Fink, and F. Lemoult, Elastic wave packets crossing a space-time interface, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **133**, 267201 (2024).
- [10] M. Fink and E. Fort, From the time-reversal mirror to the instantaneous time mirror, *Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top.* **226**, 1477 (2017).
- [11] V. Bacot, M. Labousse, A. Eddi, M. Fink, and E. Fort, Time reversal and holography with spacetime transformations, *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 972 (2016).
- [12] M. Fink, Time reversed acoustics, *Phys. Today* **50**, 34 (1997).
- [13] N. V. Budko, Electromagnetic radiation in a time-varying background medium, *Phys. Rev. A* **80**, 053817 (2009).
- [14] A. T. de Hoop and I. E. Lager, Closed-form analytic expressions for the pulsed-source radiated electromagnetic field in a class of media with time-varying wave speed, *Wave Motion* **51**, 418 (2014).
- [15] T. T. Koutserimpas and R. Fleury, Electromagnetic fields in a time-varying medium: Exceptional points and operator symmetries, *IEEE Trans. Ant. Prop.* **68**, 6717 (2020).
- [16] M. Štumpf and G. Antonini, State-variable analysis of pulsed EM-fields in temporally layered media, *Sci Rep.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-026-44439-y> (2026).
- [17] K. Wapenaar and E. Slob, Green's matrix and propagator matrix for three-dimensional electromagnetic wave propagation and scattering in a time-variant material, *Progr. Electromagn. Res.* **186**, 11 (2026).
- [18] This is a reasonable assumption for the situation in which the time-variance of the parameters is the result of a time-variant stress, applied to a material with microcracks. For example, experiments reported by Verdon et al. [19] show that c_P and c_S exhibit similar trends as a function of applied stress, meaning that their ratio varies much less with stress.
- [19] J. P. Verdon, D. A. Angus, J. M. Kendall, and S. A. Hall, The effect of microstructure and nonlinear stress on anisotropic seismic velocities, *Geophysics* **73**, D41 (2008).
- [20] V. Pacheco-Peña and N. Engheta, Effective medium concept in temporal metamaterials, *Nanophotonics* **9**, 379 (2020).
- [21] R. Feynman, R. Leighton, and M. Sands, *The Feynman lectures on physics, Volume II* (California Institute of Technology, 1963).
- [22] L. Burns, K. Y. Bliokh, F. Nori, and J. Dressel, Acoustic versus electromagnetic field theory: scalar, vector, spinor representations and the emergence of acoustic spin, *New J. Phys.* **22**, 053050 (2020).
- [23] C. Caloz and Z. L. Deck-Léger, Spacetime metamaterials—Part II: Theory and applications, *IEEE Trans. Ant. Prop.* **68**, 1583 (2020).
- [24] A. Derode, E. Larose, M. Tanter, J. de Rosny, A. Tourin, M. Campillo, and M. Fink, Recovering the Green's function from field-field correlations in an open scattering medium (L), *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **113**, 2973 (2003).
- [25] K. Wapenaar, J. Fokkema, and R. Snieder, Retrieving the Green's function in an open system by cross-correlation: a comparison of approaches (L), *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **118**, 2783 (2005).
- [26] R. L. Weaver and O. I. Lobkis, Ultrasonics without a source: Thermal fluctuation correlations at MHz frequencies, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 134301 (2001).
- [27] M. Campillo and A. Paul, Long-range correlations in the diffuse seismic coda, *Science* **299**, 547 (2003).
- [28] K. Wapenaar, Retrieving the elastodynamic Green's function of an arbitrary inhomogeneous medium by cross correlation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 254301 (2004).
- [29] R. Snieder, Extracting the Green's function from the correlation of coda waves: A derivation based on stationary phase, *Phys. Rev. E* **69**, 046610 (2004).
- [30] D.-J. Van Manen, J. O. A. Robertsson, and A. Curtis, Modeling of wave propagation in inhomogeneous media, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 164301 (2005).
- [31] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Electrodynamics of continuous media* (Pergamon Press, 1960).
- [32] A. T. de Hoop, *Handbook of radiation and scattering of waves* (Academic Press, London, 1995).

Appendix A

We start with deriving equations (1) and (2) in the main text for electromagnetic waves in a homogeneous, isotropic, time-variant material. The Maxwell equations for electromagnetic waves read [31, 32]

$$\partial_t \mathbf{D} = \nabla \times \mathbf{H} - \mathbf{J}^e, \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} = -\nabla \times \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{J}^m, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are the electric and magnetic field strengths, respectively, as a function of position ($\mathbf{x}$) and time (t). The other quantities are specified in Table I. The field quantities in these equations are related via the constitutive equations

$$\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon \mathbf{E}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \mu \mathbf{H}. \quad (\text{A4})$$

For this study, we assume that the permittivity and permeability are time-dependent functions: $\varepsilon(t)$ and $\mu(t)$. We use equations (A3) and (A4) to eliminate $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{H}$ from equations (A1) and (A2) [13–17]. This yields

$$\partial_t \mathbf{D} = \frac{1}{\mu(t)} \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \mathbf{J}^e, \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{B} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon(t)} \nabla \times \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{J}^m. \quad (\text{A6})$$

Equations (A5) and (A6) can be captured in the form of equation (1), with $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{d}$ being 6×1 vectors and $\mathbf{A}$ a 6×6 matrix. This forms a useful starting point for modeling the time evolution of $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ through a homogeneous, time-variant material [16]. Unfortunately, in this case matrix $\mathbf{A}$ does not obey the symmetry relation, formulated by equation (4). To remedy this, we apply minus the curl operator to both sides of equation (A6), which yields

$$\partial_t (-\nabla \times \mathbf{B}) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon(t)} \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{D} + \nabla \times \mathbf{J}^m. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Equations (A5) and (A7) can be captured in the form of equations (1) and (2), with the quantities in $\mathbf{q}$, $\mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ specified in Table I, with $\mathbf{A}$ obeying the symmetry property, formulated by equation (4).

Next, we derive equations (1) and (2) for elastodynamic waves in a homogeneous, isotropic, time-variant material. The equation for equilibrium of mechanical momentum and the deformation equation [32] read

$$\partial_t \mathbf{m} = \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} + \mathbf{f}, \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^t) - \mathbf{h}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

where $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ are the particle velocity and the stress tensor, respectively. The other quantities are specified in Table I. Note that $\boldsymbol{\tau} = \boldsymbol{\tau}^t$, $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{e}^t$ and

$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}^t$. The field quantities in equations (A8) and (A9) are related via the constitutive equations

$$\mathbf{m} = \rho \mathbf{v}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = 2\mu \mathbf{e} + \lambda \Theta \mathbf{I}, \quad (\text{A11})$$

with the cubic dilatation Θ defined as $\Theta = \text{tr}(\mathbf{e})$. For this study, we assume that the mass density and the Lamé parameters are time-dependent functions: $\rho(t)$, $\lambda(t)$ and $\mu(t)$. We use equations (A10) and (A11) to eliminate $\mathbf{v}$ and $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ from equations (A8) and (A9). This yields

$$\partial_t \mathbf{m} = 2\mu \nabla \cdot \mathbf{e} + \lambda \nabla \Theta + \mathbf{f}, \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$\partial_t \mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{2\rho} (\nabla \mathbf{m} + (\nabla \mathbf{m})^t) - \mathbf{h}. \quad (\text{A13})$$

Applying the divergence operator to both sides of equation (A13) yields

$$\partial_t \nabla \cdot \mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{2\rho} \nabla \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{m} + (\nabla \mathbf{m})^t) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h}. \quad (\text{A14})$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{m}) &= (\nabla \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{m} \\ &= \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{m}) - \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{m}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A15})$$

$$\nabla \cdot (\nabla \mathbf{m})^t = \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{m}), \quad (\text{A16})$$

we obtain

$$\partial_t \nabla \cdot \mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{2\rho} (2\nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{m}) - \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{m}) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{h}. \quad (\text{A17})$$

Equations (A12) and (A17) form a system of two equations for the three wave field vectors $\mathbf{m}$, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}$ and $\nabla \Theta$, hence, we need a third equation. Taking the trace of all terms of equation (A13), we obtain

$$\partial_t \Theta = \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{m} - q, \quad (\text{A18})$$

with the volume-injection rate density q defined as $q = \text{tr}(\mathbf{h})$. Applying the gradient operator to both sides of equation (A18) yields

$$\partial_t \nabla \Theta = \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{m}) - \nabla q. \quad (\text{A19})$$

Equations (A12), (A17) and (A19) can be captured in the form of equation (1), with $\mathbf{q}$ and $\mathbf{d}$ being 9×1 vectors and $\mathbf{A}$ a 9×9 matrix. This forms a useful starting point for modeling the time evolution of $\mathbf{m}$, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e}$ and $\nabla \Theta$ through a homogeneous, time-variant material. Unfortunately, in this case matrix $\mathbf{A}$ does not obey the symmetry relation, formulated by equation (4). To remedy this, from here onward we assume that the ratio $\frac{\lambda(t)}{\mu(t)}$ is time-invariant. This allows us to combine equations (A17) and (A19) into

$$\partial_t \left(2\nabla \cdot \mathbf{e} + \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \nabla \Theta \right) = \frac{1}{\rho} \mathcal{D} \mathbf{m} - 2\nabla \cdot \mathbf{h} - \frac{\lambda}{\mu} \nabla q, \quad (\text{A20})$$

where

$$\mathcal{D} = \frac{c_P^2}{c_S^2} \nabla \nabla^t - \nabla \times \nabla \times, \quad (\text{A21})$$

with P - and S -wave velocities $c_P(t)$ and $c_S(t)$ defined as

$$c_P = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda + 2\mu}{\rho}} \quad \text{and} \quad c_S = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\rho}}. \quad (\text{A22})$$

The assumption that $\frac{\lambda(t)}{\mu(t)}$ is time-invariant implies that $\frac{c_P(t)}{c_S(t)}$ is assumed time-invariant. Equations (A12) and (A20) can be captured in the form of equations (1) and (2), with the quantities in $\mathbf{q}$, $\mathbf{d}$ and $\mathbf{A}$ specified in Table I, with $\mathbf{A}$ obeying the symmetry property, formulated by equation (4).

Finally, for the elastodynamic situation, we derive an explicit expression for $\check{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_{n-1})$ for a time-invariant slab between t_{n-1} and t_n , hence, $\check{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_{n-1}) = \exp\{\check{\mathbf{A}}_n \Delta t_n\}$, with $\Delta t_n = t_n - t_{n-1}$ (equation 14). For convenience, from here onward we drop the subscripts n on the right-hand side of this expression. Matrix $\check{\mathbf{A}}$ is the Fourier transform of matrix $\mathbf{A}$, defined in equation (2), with the transformed operator $\check{\mathcal{D}}$ defined as $\check{\mathcal{D}} = -\frac{c_P^2}{c_S^2} \mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}^t + \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k} \times$, with

$$\mathbf{k} \mathbf{k}^t = \begin{pmatrix} k_x^2 & k_x k_y & k_x k_z \\ k_x k_y & k_y^2 & k_y k_z \\ k_x k_z & k_y k_z & k_z^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A23})$$

$$\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k} \times = \begin{pmatrix} -(k_y^2 + k_z^2) & k_x k_y & k_x k_z \\ k_x k_y & -(k_x^2 + k_z^2) & k_y k_z \\ k_x k_z & k_y k_z & -(k_x^2 + k_y^2) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A24})$$

The eigenvalue decomposition of matrix $\check{\mathbf{A}}$ reads $\check{\mathbf{A}} = \check{\mathbf{L}} \check{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \check{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}$. Due to the anti block-diagonal structure of matrix $\check{\mathbf{A}}$, we can write

$$\check{\mathbf{A}} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \check{\mathbf{L}}_U & \check{\mathbf{L}}_U \\ \check{\mathbf{L}}_V & -\check{\mathbf{L}}_V \end{pmatrix}}_{\check{\mathbf{L}}} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \check{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_1 & \mathbf{O} \\ \mathbf{O} & -\check{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_1 \end{pmatrix}}_{\check{\mathbf{\Lambda}}} \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \check{\mathbf{L}}_U^{-1} & \check{\mathbf{L}}_V^{-1} \\ \check{\mathbf{L}}_U^{-1} & -\check{\mathbf{L}}_V^{-1} \end{pmatrix}}_{\check{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}}, \quad (\text{A25})$$

or

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & -\frac{1}{\beta(t)} \mathbf{I} \\ -\frac{1}{\alpha(t)} \check{\mathcal{D}} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{O} & \check{\mathbf{L}}_U \check{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_1 \check{\mathbf{L}}_U^{-1} \\ \check{\mathbf{L}}_V \check{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_1 \check{\mathbf{L}}_U^{-1} & \mathbf{O} \end{pmatrix} \quad (\text{A26})$$

This equation is solved by

$$\check{\mathbf{L}}_U = \begin{pmatrix} k_x & -k_y & -k_z \\ k_y & k_x & 0 \\ k_z & 0 & k_x \end{pmatrix}, \quad \check{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_1 = -ik_r \begin{pmatrix} c_P & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_S & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_S \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A27})$$

$$\check{\mathbf{L}}_V = ik_r \beta \begin{pmatrix} c_P k_x & -c_S k_y & -c_S k_z \\ c_P k_y & c_S k_x & 0 \\ c_P k_z & 0 & c_S k_x \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A28})$$

with $k_r = |\mathbf{k}| = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2}$. Using the eigenvalue decomposition of $\check{\mathbf{A}}$, we express the propagator matrix as

$$\check{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_{n-1}) = \exp\{\check{\mathbf{A}} \Delta t\} = \check{\mathbf{L}} \exp\{\check{\mathbf{\Lambda}} \Delta t\} \check{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}. \quad (\text{A29})$$

We partition $\check{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_{n-1})$ as

$$\check{\mathbf{W}}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_{n-1}) = \begin{pmatrix} \check{\mathbf{W}}^{U,U} & \check{\mathbf{W}}^{U,V} \\ \check{\mathbf{W}}^{V,U} & \check{\mathbf{W}}^{V,V} \end{pmatrix}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_{n-1}). \quad (\text{A30})$$

Substituting the definitions of $\check{\mathbf{L}}$, $\check{\mathbf{\Lambda}}$ and $\check{\mathbf{L}}^{-1}$ of equation (A25) into equation (A29), we obtain

$$\check{\mathbf{W}}^{U,U}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_{n-1}) = \check{\mathbf{L}}_U \cos(i\check{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_1 \Delta t) \check{\mathbf{L}}_U^{-1}, \quad (\text{A31})$$

$$\check{\mathbf{W}}^{U,V}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_{n-1}) = -i\check{\mathbf{L}}_U \sin(i\check{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_1 \Delta t) \check{\mathbf{L}}_V^{-1}, \quad (\text{A32})$$

$$\check{\mathbf{W}}^{V,U}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_{n-1}) = -i\check{\mathbf{L}}_V \sin(i\check{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_1 \Delta t) \check{\mathbf{L}}_U^{-1}, \quad (\text{A33})$$

$$\check{\mathbf{W}}^{V,V}(\mathbf{k}, t_n, t_{n-1}) = \check{\mathbf{L}}_V \cos(i\check{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_1 \Delta t) \check{\mathbf{L}}_V^{-1}, \quad (\text{A34})$$

where

$$\cos(i\check{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_1 \Delta t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \psi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cos \psi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A35})$$

$$\sin(i\check{\mathbf{\Lambda}}_1 \Delta t) = \begin{pmatrix} \sin \phi & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sin \psi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sin \psi \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A36})$$

with

$$\phi = k_r c_P \Delta t, \quad \psi = k_r c_S \Delta t. \quad (\text{A37})$$

The limits for $k_r \rightarrow 0$ of equations (A31) – (A34) are finite. Expressions for the electromagnetic situation are obtained by taking the limit $c_P \rightarrow 0$ of the above expressions and replacing c_S by the electromagnetic propagation velocity c_{EM} , defined as

$$c_{EM} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon \mu}} \quad (\text{A38})$$

(where μ in equation (A38) is not the same as μ in equation (A22), see Table I). Note that, although $\check{\mathbf{L}}_V$ is not invertible for $c_P = 0$, the limits for $c_P \rightarrow 0$ of equations (A32) and (A34) are finite.